

**SPECIMEN BALLOT  
LIVINGSTON COUNTY, ILLINOIS  
GENERAL ELECTION  
NOVEMBER 6, 2012**

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS SPECIMEN BALLOT IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE OFFICES AND CANDIDATES TO BE VOTED IN THE GENERAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN LIVINGSTON COUNTY ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 2012.



KRISTY A. MASCHING, COUNTY CLERK  
LIVINGSTON COUNTY, ILLINOIS

STATE "NOTICE"
<p align="center"><b>THE FAILURE TO VOTE THIS BALLOT MAY BE THE EQUIVALENT OF A NEGATIVE VOTE, BECAUSE A CONVENTION SHALL BE CALLED OR THE AMENDMENT SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE IF APPROVED BY EITHER THREE-FIFTHS OF THOSE VOTING ON THE QUESTION OR A MAJORITY OF THOSE VOTING IN THE ELECTION. (THIS IS NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS A DIRECTION THAT YOUR VOTE IS REQUIRED TO BE CAST EITHER IN FAVOR OF OR IN OPPOSITION TO THE PROPOSITION HEREIN CONTAINED.)</b></p> <p align="center"><b>WHETHER YOU VOTE THIS BALLOT OR NOT YOU MUST RETURN IT TO THE ELECTION JUDGE WHEN YOU LEAVE THE VOTING BOOTH".</b></p>
<p>CONSTITUTION BALLOT</p> <p>PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE 1970 ILLINOIS CONSTITUTION</p> <p>Explanation of Amendment</p>
<p>Upon approval by the voters, the proposed amendment, which takes effect on January 9, 2013, adds a new section to the General Provisions Article of the Illinois Constitution. The new section would require a three-fifths majority vote of each chamber of the General Assembly, or the governing body of a unit of local government, school district, or pension or retirement system, in order to increase a benefit under any public pension or retirement system. At the general election to be held on November 6, 2012, you will be called upon to decide whether the proposed amendment should become part of the Illinois Constitution.</p>
<p>If you believe the Illinois Constitution <u>should be amended</u> to require a three-fifths majority vote in order to increase a benefit under any public pension or retirement system, you should vote <b>“YES”</b> on the question. If you believe the Illinois Constitution <u>should not be amended</u> to require a three-fifths majority vote in order to increase a benefit under any public pension or retirement system, you should vote <b>“NO”</b> on the question. Three-fifths of those voting on the question or a majority of those voting in the election must vote <b>“YES”</b> in order for the amendment to become effective on January 9, 2013.</p>
<p>For the proposed addition of Section 5.1 to Article XIII of the Illinois Constitution.</p> <p align="center"><b>YES</b></p> <p align="center"><b>NO</b></p>

FEDERAL
<b>FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (Vote for one)</b>  <b>BARACK OBAMA</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>JOE BIDEN</b>  <b>MITT ROMNEY</b> REPUBLICAN <b>PAUL RYAN</b>  <b>GARY JOHNSON</b> LIBERTARIAN <b>JAMES P. GRAY</b>  <b>JILL STEIN</b> GREEN <b>HOWIE HAWKINS</b>  <small>Write-In</small>
CONGRESSIONAL
<b>FOR REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS SIXTEENTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT (Vote for one)</b>  <b>WANDA ROHL</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>ADAM KINZINGER</b> REPUBLICAN
LEGISLATIVE
<b>FOR STATE SENATOR THIRTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT (Vote for one)</b>  <b>CHRISTINE BENSON</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>SUE REZIN</b> REPUBLICAN
<b>FOR STATE SENATOR FIFTY-THIRD LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT (Vote for one)</b>  <b>No Candidate</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>JASON BARICKMAN</b> REPUBLICAN
REPRESENTATIVE
<b>FOR REPRESENTATIVE IN THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY SEVENTY-SIXTH REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT (Vote for one)</b>  <b>FRANK J. MAUTINO</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>DONALD JENSEN</b> REPUBLICAN
<b>FOR REPRESENTATIVE IN THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTH REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT (Vote for one)</b>  <b>No Candidate</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>DAN BRADY</b> REPUBLICAN
<b>FOR REPRESENTATIVE IN THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY ONE HUNDRED AND SIXTH REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT (Vote for one)</b>  <b>No Candidate</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>JOSH HARMS</b> REPUBLICAN
COUNTY
<b>FOR CIRCUIT CLERK (Vote for one)</b>  <b>No Candidate</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>JUDITH K. CREMER</b> REPUBLICAN
<b>FOR STATE'S ATTORNEY (Vote for one)</b>  <b>No Candidate</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>SETH UPHOFF</b> REPUBLICAN

COUNTY
<b>FOR CORONER (Vote for one)</b>  <b>No Candidate</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>MICHAEL P. BURKE</b> REPUBLICAN
EDUCATIONAL SERVICE REGION
<b>FOR REGIONAL SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS (IROQUOIS AND KANKAKEE COUNTIES) (For an unexpired two year term) (Vote for one)</b>  <b>No Candidate</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>GREGG MURPHY</b> REPUBLICAN
<b>FOR REGIONAL SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS (MARSHALL, PUTNAM AND WOODFORD COUNTIES) (For an unexpired two year term) (Vote for one)</b>  <b>No Candidate</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>PHYLLIS J. GLAZIER</b> REPUBLICAN
COUNTY BOARD
<b>FOR MEMBERS OF THE COUNTY BOARD DISTRICT ONE (Vote for not more than eight)</b>  <b>EARL A. RIENTS</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>JOHN L. "JACK" VIETTI</b> REPUBLICAN <b>KATHY ARBOGAST</b> REPUBLICAN <b>KELLY COCHRAN COHLMAN</b> REPUBLICAN <b>G. MICHAEL INGLES</b> REPUBLICAN <b>RANDELL M. WITTENBERG</b> REPUBLICAN <b>CAROLYN GERWIN</b> REPUBLICAN <b>WILLIAM FAIRFIELD</b> REPUBLICAN <b>MARTY FANNIN</b> REPUBLICAN
<b>FOR MEMBERS OF THE COUNTY BOARD DISTRICT TWO (Vote for not more than eight)</b>  <b>No Candidate</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>JOAN BULLARD</b> REPUBLICAN <b>ROBERT F. WELLER</b> REPUBLICAN <b>JOSEPH D. STEICHEN</b> REPUBLICAN <b>DARYL N. HOLT</b> REPUBLICAN <b>WILLIAM G. FLOTT</b> REPUBLICAN <b>JUDY L. CAMPBELL</b> REPUBLICAN <b>RONALD L. KESTNER</b> REPUBLICAN <b>ROBERT E. YOUNG</b> REPUBLICAN
<b>FOR MEMBERS OF THE COUNTY BOARD DISTRICT THREE (Vote for not more than eight)</b>  <b>DEBORAH KELLEY-HOWARD</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>TIM SHAFER</b> REPUBLICAN <b>STANLEY R. WEBER</b> REPUBLICAN <b>CARL BORNGASSER</b> REPUBLICAN <b>JOHN L. YODER</b> REPUBLICAN <b>MARK E. RUNYON</b> REPUBLICAN <b>VICKI K. ALLEN</b> REPUBLICAN <b>WILLIAM H. PETERSON</b> REPUBLICAN <b>PAUL A. RITTER</b> REPUBLICAN

JUDICIAL
<b>FOR JUDGE OF THE APPELLATE COURT FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT (To fill the vacancy of the Hon. Sue E. Myerscough) (Vote for one)</b>  <b>No Candidate</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>CAROL POPE</b> REPUBLICAN
<b>FOR JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT (To fill the vacancy of the Hon. G. Michael Prall) (Vote for one)</b>  <b>No Candidate</b> DEMOCRATIC <b>PAUL LAWRENCE</b> REPUBLICAN
JUDICIAL RETENTION
<b>BALLOT FOR JUDICIAL CANDIDATES SEEKING RETENTION IN OFFICE</b>  "Vote on the Proposition with respect to all or any of the Judges listed on this ballot. No Judge listed is running against any other Judge. The sole question is whether each Judge shall be retained in his present office."
APPELLATE COURT JUDGE
<b>SHALL RITA B. GARMAN be retained in office as JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT?</b>  <b>YES</b>  <b>NO</b>
<b>SHALL JOHN TURNER be retained in office as JUDGE OF THE APPELLATE COURT FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT?</b>  <b>YES</b>  <b>NO</b>
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE
<b>SHALL ELIZABETH A. ROBB be retained in office as JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT?</b>  <b>YES</b>  <b>NO</b>
<b>SHALL KEVIN P. FITZGERALD be retained in office as JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT?</b>  <b>YES</b>  <b>NO</b>

PROPOSITION
<b>CITY OF PONTIAC</b>  <b>MUNICIPAL SALES TAX QUESTION</b>  Shall the City of Pontiac impose a Non-Home Rule Municipal Retailers' Occupation Tax and Non-Home Rule Municipal Service Occupation Tax (commonly referred to as a "municipal sales tax") at the rate of 0.5% for expenditure on public infrastructure, for property tax relief and for municipal operations in accordance with and subject to the provisions of Sections 8-11-1.3 and 8-11-1.4 of the Illinois Municipal Code (65 ILCS 5/8-11-1.3 and 65 ILCS 5/8-11-1.4)?  <b>YES</b>  <b>NO</b>
<b>SULLIVAN TOWNSHIP</b>  <b>PROPOSITION TO AUTHORIZE A NEW TAX RATE</b>  Shall Sullivan Township, Livingston County, Illinois be authorized to levy a new tax for cemetery fund purposes and have an additional tax of .10% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property therein extended for such purposes?  <b>YES</b>  <b>NO</b>
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION</b>  1. The approximate amount of taxes extendable at the most recently extended limiting rate is \$74,834.75, and the approximate amount of taxes extendable if the proposition is approved is \$88,987.08.  2. For the 2013 levy year the approximate amount of the additional tax extendable against property containing a single family residence and having a fair market value at the time of the referendum of \$100,000 is estimated to be \$42.22.  3. Based upon an average annual percentage increase (or decrease) in the market value of such property of 4.24%, the approximate amount of the additional tax extendable against such property for the 2014 levy year is estimated to be \$51.48 and for the 2015 levy year is estimated to be \$61.14, and for the 2016 levy year is estimated to be \$71.20.  4. If the proposition is approved, the aggregate extension for 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 will be determined by the limiting rate set forth in the proposition, rather than the otherwise applicable limiting rate calculated under the provisions of the Property Tax Extension Limitation Law (commonly known as the Property Tax Cap law).
<b>VILLAGE OF REDDICK</b>  <b>ELECTRICITY AGGREGATION REFERENDUM</b>  Shall the Village of Reddick have authority to arrange for the supply of electricity for its residential and small commercial retail customers who have not opted out of such program?  <b>YES</b>  <b>NO</b>